arXiv:math/0611693v1 [math.ST] 22 Nov 2006

IMS Lecture Notes–Monograph Series
Recent Developments in Nonparametric Inference and Probability
Vol. 50 (2006) 164–175
© Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 2006
DOI: 10.1214/074921706000000671

Nonlinear renewal theorems for random walks with perturbations of intermediate order

Keiji Nagai[1] and Cun-Hui Zhang[2,*]

Yokohama National University and Rutgers University

Abstract: We develop nonlinear renewal theorems for a perturbed random walk without assuming stochastic boundedness of centered perturbation terms. A second order expansion of the expected stopping time is obtained via the uniform integrability of the difference between certain linear and nonlinear stopping rules. An intermediate renewal theorem is obtained which provides expansions between the nonlinear versions of the elementary and regular renewal theorems. The expected sample size of a two-sample rank sequential probability ratio test is considered as the motivating example.

1. Introduction

Let $X, X_n, n \geq 1$, be i.i.d. random variables with a finite, positive mean $EX = \mu$. Let $\{Z_n,\, n \geq 1\}$ be a perturbed random walk defined as

$$Z_n = S_n + \xi_n, \quad S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n, \qquad n \geq 1, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are random variables such that $\{X_1, \xi_1, \ldots, X_n, \xi_n\}$ are independent of $\{X_{n+j}, j \geq 1\}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Define

$$T_b = \inf\{n \geq 1 : Z_n > b\}, \quad \tau_b = \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n > b\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Nonlinear renewal theory studies probabilistic quantities related to stopping rules T_b, especially Blackwell-type theorems for the convergence of the renewal measure $U_b((b, b+h]) = \sum_n P\{b < Z_n \leq b+h\}$, the distribution of the excess over the boundary $R_b = Z_{T_b} - b$, and expansions of ET_b and $\mathrm{Var}(T_b)$, as extensions of the (linear) renewal theorems concerning probabilistic quantities related to τ_b. Many important applications of nonlinear renewal theory come from sequential analysis in which nonlinear renewal theory provides crucial analytical tools and methodologies [8, 19, 22, 25, 28].

Many authors have studied nonlinear renewal theory. See for example Chow and Robbins [6], Chow [3], Siegmund [23, 24], Gut [9], Pollak and Siegmund [18], Woodroofe [26, 27], Lai and Siegmund [15, 16], Chow, Hsiung and Lai [4], Lalley [17], Hagwood and Woodroofe [10], Woodroofe and Keener [31], Zhang [32], Hu [11],

[1]Yokohama National Univeristy, Faculty of Economics, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan, e-mail: knagai@ynu.ac.jp

[2]Department of Statistics, Hill Center, Busch Campus, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA, e-mail: czhang@stat.rutgers.edu

*Research partially supported by National Science Foundation.

AMS 2000 subject classifications: primary 60K05, 60G40, 60K35; secondary 62L10.

Keywords and phrases: nonlinear renewal theorem, random walk, sequential analysis, expected stopping rule, uniform integrability, sequential probability ratio test, rank likelihood, rank test, proportional hazards model.

Aras and Woodroofe [1], Kim and Woodroofe [12, 13], and the books by Woodroofe [28] and Siegmund [25] on the subject. A main condition of the existing Blackwell-type nonlinear renewal theorems is

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty} P\left\{\max_{1\le j\le \theta n^\alpha}\left|\xi_{n+j}-\xi_n\right|>\epsilon\right\}=0,\quad \forall\epsilon>0, \tag{1.3}$$

(Lai and Siegmund [15]). This condition of slowly changing perturbation allows ξ_n with unbounded variability [i.e. stochastically unbounded $\xi_n - c(n)$ for any centering constants $c(n)$]. However, in addition to (1.3) and other regularity conditions, existing results on the second order [i.e. up to $o(1)$] expansion of ET_b requires

$$\text{the uniform integrability of } \max_{N_0\vee n\le j\le n+n^\alpha}\left|\xi_j - f(j)\right| \tag{1.4}$$

for certain $1/2 < \alpha \le 1$, where $f(t)$ is a slowly changing deterministic function and N_0 is a random variable with $EN_0 < \infty$ [15]. This condition precludes perturbation processes ξ_n with unbounded variability. In this paper, we remove the restriction on the bounded variability of ξ_n by imposing the condition of

$$\text{the uniform integrability of } \max_{1\le j\le Mn^\alpha}\left(|\xi_{n+j}-\zeta_n|\wedge n^\alpha\right) \tag{1.5}$$

for all $M \in \mathbb{R}$, instead of (1.4), where ζ_n are certain truncated ξ_n. This will be done in Section 2.

Blackwell-type nonlinear renewal theorems and second order expansions of ET_b were first obtained by Woodroofe [26] for stopping rules of the form

$$T_b = \inf\left\{n\ge 1 : S_n > A(n;b)\right\} \tag{1.6}$$

with certain nonlinear boundaries $A(\cdot;b)$. Lai and Siegmund [15, 16] pointed out that in many applications (1.6) can be written as (1.2) for certain (possibly different) random walk with perturbation and developed nonlinear renewal theorems for (1.2) under much weaker conditions on the distribution of X. Zhang [32] studied nonlinear renewal theorems for both (1.2) and (1.6) through the uniform integrability of $|T_b - \tau_a|^p$, where $a = b - f(b/\mu)$ with the function $f(t)$ in (1.4). He also obtained a second order expansion of $\mathrm{Var}(T_b)$ for stopping rules of the form (1.6), and thus demonstrated certain advantages of investigating (1.6). The methods in Zhang [32] and here can be combined to study a general form of stopping rules with (1.2) and (1.6) as special cases, but for simplicity we confine the rest of our discussion to stopping rules of form (1.2).

The motivating example of this paper is Savage and Sethuraman's [21] two-sample rank sequential probability ratio test (SPRT) for $G = F$ against a Lehmann alternative. In Section 3 we provide formulas for the expansion of the expected sample size of the rank SPRT, with an outline of a proof. Our calculation shows that the rank log-likelihood ratio is of the form (1.1) such that $(\xi_n - E\xi_n)/(\log n)^{1/2}$ converges to a nondegenerate normal distribution under the null hypothesis, so that we are truly dealing with perturbations of intermediate order.

Our expansion of ET_b is obtained via the uniform integrability of $|T_b - \tau_b^*|$ under (1.5), where τ_b^*, defined in (4.1) below, is a linear stopping rule with perturbation at an initial time $n = n_*$. In fact, we will develop sufficient conditions for the uniform integrability of $\{|T_b - \tau_b^*|/\rho(b)\}^p$ for certain normalization constants $1 \le \rho(b) = o(b)$ and consequently an intermediate renewal theory for the expansion of ET_b up to $O(\rho(b))$. These will be done in Section 4.

2. Expectation of stopping rules

In this section, we provide a second order expansion of ET_b under (1.5). Let $\rho(x)$ be a function satisfying

$$1 \le \rho(x) = o(x) \text{ as } x \to \infty\ , \quad \sup_{x>1} \sup_{x \le t \le 3x} \rho(t)/\rho(2x) < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

We shall first state a general set of regularity conditions on the perturbation ξ_n in (1.1) which we call ρ-regularity. We denote throughout the sequel $\lfloor x \rfloor$ the integer part of x and $\lceil x \rceil$ the smallest integer upper bound of x.

The process $\{\xi_n\}$ in (1.1) is called *ρ-regular*, or regular of order $\rho(\cdot)$, with parameters $p \ge 1$ and $1/2 < \alpha \le 1$ if the following three conditions hold: there exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$, $\theta > 0$, $\theta < \mu$ for $\alpha = 1$, $K > 0$, $w_0 > 0$ and $0 < \theta^* < K\mu$ such that

$$P\Big\{ \max_{\delta_0 n < j \le n} \xi_j > \theta n^\alpha \Big\} = o(\rho(n)/n)^p, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\sum_{k \ge n + K n^\alpha} k^{p-1} P\{\xi_k \le -(k-n)\mu + w_0 k^\alpha\} = o(\rho^p(n)), \tag{2.3}$$

and that for $\zeta_n = (\xi_n \wedge \theta n^\alpha) \vee (-\theta^* n^\alpha)$ and all $M < \infty$

$$\left\{ \max_{0 \le j \le M n^\alpha} \left(\frac{|\zeta_n - \xi_{n+j}| \wedge n^\alpha}{\rho(n)} \right)^p , n \ge 1 \right\} \text{ is uniformly integrable.} \tag{2.4}$$

We impose the 1-regularity with $\rho(x) = 1$ in Theorem 2.1 below for the expansion of ET_b and the general ρ-regularity in Section 4 for uniform integrability and an intermediate renewal theorem of order $\rho(\cdot)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $\{\xi_n, n \ge 1\}$ is 1-regular, i.e. $\rho(x) = 1$, with parameters $p = 1$ and $1/2 < \alpha \le 1$. Suppose X is non-lattice with $EX = \mu > 0$ and $E|X|^{2/\alpha} < \infty$. Suppose $bP\{T_b \le \delta_0 b/\mu\} = o(1)$ for the δ_0 in (2.2) and the slowly changing condition*

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n^\alpha} |\xi_{n+j} - \zeta_n| = o_P(1) \tag{2.5}$$

holds with the $\zeta_n = (\xi_n \wedge \theta n^\alpha) \vee (-\theta^* n^\alpha)$ in (2.4). Then, as $b \to \infty$,

$$\mu E T_b = b - E\zeta_{n_b} + \frac{E S_{\tau_0}^2}{2 E S_{\tau_0}} + o(1), \tag{2.6}$$

where $n_b = \lfloor b/\mu \rfloor$, S_n is as in (1.1) and τ_0 is as in (1.2).

Remark 2.1. As mentioned earlier, the main difference between the ρ-regularity and the usual regularity conditions in the nonlinear renewal theory literature is (2.4), which allows ξ_n to have unbounded variability as $n \to \infty$.

Remark 2.2. Since $(k-n)/k^\alpha$ is increasing in k, $(k-n)\mu - w_0 k^\alpha \ge (\mu K/(1+K)^\alpha - w_0)k^\alpha$ for $k \ge n + K(n\mu)^\alpha$. Thus, (2.3) is a consequence of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{-\xi_k \ge w_1 k^\alpha\} < \infty \tag{2.7}$$

for certain $w_1 > 0$. In typical nonlinear renewal theorems, $\rho(x) = 1$ and (2.7) is imposed (with $w_1 < \mu$ for $\alpha = 1$) instead of (2.3).

Remark 2.3. The condition $bP\{T_b \le \delta_0 b/\mu\} \to 0$ holds if $EX^2 < \infty$ and $nP\{\max_{j\le n} \xi_j > w_2 n\} = o(1)$ for some $w_2 < \mu(1/\delta_0 - 1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $T = T_b$ be as in (1.2), $n_* = \lfloor b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha \rfloor$, and $\tau^* = \tau_b^* = \inf\{n \ge n_* : S_n + \zeta_{n_*} > b\}$ be as in (4.1) below with $\eta_* > 0$, $\eta_* < 1/\mu$ for $\alpha = 1$. Let $R_b^* = S_{\tau^*} + \zeta_{n_*} - b$ be the overshoot for τ^*. Since ζ_{n_*} is bounded and $b - \zeta_{n_*} \to \infty$,

$$\mu E\tau^* = ES_{\tau^*} = ER_b^* + b - E\zeta_{n_*} = b - E\zeta_{n_*} + \frac{ES_{\tau_0}^2}{2ES_{\tau_0}} + o(1) \tag{2.8}$$

by the Wald identity and the standard linear renewal theorem [7]. The proof is based on (2.8), (2.4) with $\rho(\cdot) = 1$, (2.5), and the uniform integrability of $|T - \tau^*|$ in Theorem 4.1.

Since $E|T - \tau^*|$ is bounded, $ET < \infty$ and $\mu E(T - \tau^*) = E(S_T - S_{\tau^*})$ by the Wald identity. Let $R_b = Z_T - b$ be the overshoot for T in (1.2). By (1.2) and (4.1)

$$S_T - S_{\tau^*} = (R_b + b - \xi_T) - (R_\tau^* + b - \zeta_{n_*}) = R_b - R_b^* + (\zeta_{n_*} - \xi_T). \tag{2.9}$$

Since $EX^2 < \infty$, the uniform integrability of $|T - \tau^*|$ implies that of $|S_T - S_{\tau^*}|$. Since $\zeta_{n_*} = O(b^\alpha)$, $|\tau^* - b/\mu| = O_P(b^\alpha)$. This and $T - \tau^* = O_P(1)$ imply

$$|T - b/\mu| + |\tau^* - b/\mu| = O_P(b^\alpha), \tag{2.10}$$

so that $\zeta_{n_*} - \xi_T = o_P(1)$ by (2.5). Moreover, (2.10) and (2.5) imply the convergence of both R_b and R_b^* in univariate distribution to the same limit [15]. These facts and the uniform integrability of $|S_T - S_{\tau^*}|$ imply $\mu E(T - \tau^*) = E(S_T - S_{\tau^*}) \to 0$ in view of (2.9). It then follows from (2.8) that $ET = b - E\zeta_{n_*} + ES_{\tau_0}^2/(2ES_{\tau_0}) + o(1)$. Since $E|\zeta_{n_*} - \zeta_{n_b}| \to 0$ by (2.4) and (2.5), (2.6) follows. □

3. Rank SPRT

Given a constant $\Delta > 0$ and two independent samples of equal size from continuous distribution functions F and G respectively, the rank likelihood ratio for testing $H_0 : G = F$ against the Lehmann alternative $G = F^\Delta$ is $\Lambda_n = L_n(F, F^\Delta)/L_n(F, F)$, where $L_n(F, G)$ is the probability mass function of the ranks of the F-sample within the combination of the F- and G-samples. Suppose pairs of observations, one from F and one from G, are taken sequentially, the rank SPRT [21] rejects H_0 iff $\Lambda_T > e^b$ with the stopping rule

$$T = T_{a,b} = \inf\Big\{n \ge 1 : Z_n < -a \text{ or } Z_n > b\Big\}, \quad Z_n = \log \Lambda_n. \tag{3.1}$$

In this section, we provide formulas for the expansion of the expectation of the sample size (3.1) of the rank SPRT under the following conditions:

$$G = F^A, \quad \mu = \log \Delta + \int \log\left(\frac{F + G}{F + \Delta G}\right) d(F + G) \ne 0. \tag{3.2}$$

We outline a proof of the expansion via a representation of the rank log-likelihood ratio Z_n in (3.1) as a perturbed random walk (1.1) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1. We prove in (3.9) the convergence of $(\xi_n - E\xi_n)/(\log n)^{1/2}$ in distribution under the null hypothesis, so that the rank log-likelihood is truly a random walk with perturbations of intermediate order.

The rank likelihood ratio was considered earlier by Savage [20] who showed that

$$Z_n = \log\Big(\Delta^n (2n)!\Big) - n\int \log(nF_n + \Delta n G_n) d(F_n + G_n), \tag{3.3}$$

where F_n and G_n are the ECDF's of the F- and G-samples. Chernoff and Savage provided [2] representations of certain rank statistics as (1.1) and proved their asymptotic normality, i.e. $\xi_n = o_P(n^{1/2})$. Lai identified [14] the rank log-likelihood ratio Z_n with a Chernoff–Savage statistic and proved the quick convergence $n^{-\epsilon}\xi_n$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ in (1.1). The problem was further investigated by Woodroofe [28, 29] who proved (1.3) for the perturbation term of (3.3) and derived asymptotic formulas for the error probabilities of the rank SPRT. Our results are based on a finer expansion of (3.3) from a slightly different expression (3.4) below.

Let $H = F + G$, $W = F + \Delta G$, and H_n and W_n be their empirical versions. Since $\log((2n)!) = n\int \log(nH_n) dH_n$, (3.3) can be written as

$$Z_n = n\log(\Delta) + n\int \log\left(\frac{H_n}{W_n}\right) dH_n. \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\psi_n = H_n/W_n$ and $\psi = H/W$. We write (3.4) as a perturbed random walk (1.1) with

$$S_n = n\log\Delta + n\int \log(\psi) dH_n + n\int (H_n/H - W_n/W) dH \tag{3.5}$$

and the perturbation term

$$\xi_n = \int n\Big\{\log(\psi_n/\psi) dH_n - (H_n/H - W_n/W) dH\Big\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let y_k be hypergeometric(n, n, k) variables and $\eta = (1-\Delta)/(1+\Delta)$. Define

$$C(\eta) = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{2n} E\log\Big(1 + \eta\Big(\frac{2y_k}{k} - 1\Big)\Big) + \frac{\eta^2}{2}\log(2n).$$

The above limit exists since $Ey_k = k/2$ and $\mathrm{Var}(y_k) = (k/4)(2n-k)/(2n-1)$. We state our expansion of the expectation of the sample size (3.1) as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Set $\eta = (\Delta - 1)/(\Delta + 1)$. Let $T_{a,b}$, S_n, and τ_0 be as in* (3.1), (3.5), *and* (1.2) *respectively. Suppose $\mu > 0$ in* (3.2) *and $a/\log b \to \infty$ as $b \to \infty$. Then,*

$$\mu E T_{a,b} = b - E\xi_{n_b} + ES_{\tau_0}^2/(2ES_{\tau_0}) + o(1), \tag{3.7}$$

where $n_b = \lfloor b/\mu \rfloor$ as in Theorem 2.1. Moreover, if $G = F^A$, then

$$\mu E T_{a,b} = \begin{cases} b - \int h(x) d(x + x^A) + ES_{\tau_0}^2/(2ES_{\tau_0}) + o(1), & A \neq 1 \\ b - (\eta^2/2)\log(2b/\mu) + C(\eta) + ES_{\tau_0}^2/(2ES_{\tau_0}) + o(1), & A = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $h(x) = (1-\Delta)^2 x^{1+A}/\{2(x + \Delta x^A)^2 (x + x^A)\}$.

Remark 3.1. Since $\Lambda_n = L_n(G^{1/\Delta}, G)/L_n(G, G)$, Theorem 3.1 also provides expansions of $ET_{a,b}$ for $\mu < 0$ as $(a, b/\log a) \to (\infty, \infty)$ by symmetry.

Here is an outline of a proof of Theorem 3.1. For $\epsilon_0 \le \mu$, $P(Z_n \le 0) \le P(|Z_n - n\mu| > \epsilon_0 n) \to 0$ exponentially fast [21]. Since $P(|Z_n| \le n|\log\Delta|) = 1$, this implies $P(Z_{T_{a,b}} < 0) = o(b^2)$, so that $ET_{a,b} = ET_b + o(1)$. Thus, it suffices to consider $a = \infty$ ($T_{a,b} = T_b$). Moreover, the same argument proves $bP\{T_b \le \delta_0 b/\mu\} = o(1)$ for all $\delta_0 < 1$.

Let M^* denote universal constants. For $c_n \ge 1/n$, we split the integral for ξ_j in (3.6) according to whether $H(x) \le c_n$ so that

$$\xi_j = \Big(\int_{H\le c_n} + \int_{H>c_n}\Big)\Big\{\log\Big(\frac{\psi_j}{\psi}\Big)d(jH_j) - \Big(\frac{jH_j}{H} - \frac{jW_j}{W}\Big)dH\Big\} = \xi_{n,j}^{(1)} + \xi_{n,j}^{(2)}.$$

Since $\psi_j = (jH_j)/(jW_j)$ with jH_j and jW_j being sums of iid measures, $\xi_{n,j+1}^{(1)} = \xi_{n,j}^{(1)}$ if $\int_{H\le c_n} d\{(j+1)H_{j+1} - jH_j\} = 0$. Thus, by algebra and the Taylor expansion of $\log(\psi_{j+1}/\psi_j)$,

$$|\xi_{n,j+1}^{(1)} - \xi_{n,j}^{(1)}| \le M^* \int_{H\le c_n} \{1 + \log n - \log(H/2)\} d\{(j+1)H_{j+1} - jH_j\}$$

due to $d\{(j+1)W_{j+1} - jW_j\} \le (1 \vee \Delta) d\{(j+1)H_{j+1} - jH_j\}$ and $\int (1/H_n) dH_n = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} 1/k$. For $\xi_{n,j}^{(2)}$, the four term Taylor expansion of $\log(\psi_j/\psi)$ yields

$$E \max_{n\le j\le 2n} \Big|\xi_{n,j}^{(2)} - U_{n,j}^{[1]} - (j-1)U_{n,j}^{[2]}\Big|^2 \le M^*/(nc_n),$$

where $\{U_{n,j}^{[k]}, j \ge 1\}$ are U-statistics with kernels $U_{n,k}^{[k]}$ such that

$$E\Big|U_{n,1}^{[1]}\Big| \le M^* \log\Big(\frac{2}{c_n}\Big),\ \mathrm{Var}\Big(U_{n,1}^{[1]}\Big) \le \frac{M^*}{nc_n},\ E\Big(U_{n,2}^{[2]}\Big)^2 \le M^* \log\Big(\frac{2}{c_n}\Big),$$

with completely degenerate $U_{n,2}^{[2]}$. Choose c_n satisfying $(\log n)c_n n^\alpha + 1/(nc_n) = o(1)$, we find that the above five inequalities imply the 1-regularity of ξ_n for $p = 1$ and all $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *The rank log-likelihood ratio Z_n in* (3.1) *and* (3.3) *can be written as a perturbed random walk $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ with the random walk S_n in* (3.5)*, drift μ in* (3.2)*, and the perturbation ξ_n in* (3.6)*. Moreover, for all $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, $E\max_{j\le n^\alpha} |\xi_{n+j} - \xi_n| = o(1)$, $E(|\xi_n| - n^\alpha)^+ = o(1)$, $nP\{\max_{0\le j\le n} |\xi_{n+j}| > \epsilon n^\alpha\} = o(1)$, and $\sum_n P\{|\xi_n| > \epsilon n^\alpha\} < \infty$.*

It follows from (3.5) that S_1 is non-lattice with $E|S_1|^p < \infty$ for all p. Since we have already proved $bP\{T_b \le \delta_0 b/\mu\} = o(1)$, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold by Proposition 3.1. Thus, (3.7) holds and it remains to compute $E\xi_n$ up to $o(1)$. We sketch below the calculation in the case of $F = G$.

Assume $F = G$ are uniform in $(0,1)$. Let $u_1 \le \cdots \le u_{2n}$ be the ordered observations in the combined sample. Let $\varepsilon_k = 1$ if u_k is from G and $\varepsilon_k = 0$ otherwise. Set $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_i$. Since $y_k = nG_n(u_k)$ and $k = nH_n(u_k)$, $(\psi/\psi_n)(u_k) = 1 + \eta(2y_k/k - 1)$, so that by (3.6)

$$\xi_n = -\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \log\Big(1 + \eta(2y_k/k - 1)\Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \eta(2y_k - k)\log(u_{k+1}/u_k) \tag{3.8}$$

with $u_{2n+1} = 1$. Since $y_k \sim$ hypergeometric(n, n, k), $Ey_k = k/2$. This and the independence of $\{y_k\}$ and $\{u_k\}$ imply

$$E\xi_n = (\eta^2/2)\log(2n) - C(\eta) + o(1).$$

Thus, the expansion in Theorem 3.1 holds for $A = 1$, in view of (3.7).

Since $(u_k - u_{k-1})/u_k$ are independent beta$(1, k-1)$ variables, (3.8) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n &\approx \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \frac{\eta^2}{2}\Big(\frac{2y_k}{k} - 1\Big)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \eta\Big(\frac{2y_k}{k} - 1\Big)\Big\{(k+1)\frac{u_{k+1} - u_k}{u_{k+1}} - 1\Big\} \\ &\approx \frac{\eta^2}{2}\int_{1/(2n)}^1 \frac{B_0^2(t)}{t^2}dt + \eta\int_{1/(2n)}^1 \frac{B_0(t)}{t}dW_1(t) \qquad (3.9) \\ &\approx E\xi_n + N\Big(0, (\eta^4 + \eta^2)\log n\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $B_0(\cdot)$ is a Brownian bridge, $W_1(\cdot)$ is a Brownian motion independent of $B_0(\cdot)$, and $\xi_n \approx \xi_n'$ means ξ_n has the same limiting distribution as ξ_n' after centering by $E\xi_n$ and normalization by $\sqrt{\log n}$. Thus, condition (1.4) of the existing nonlinear renewal theorems does not hold.

4. Uniform integrability and an intermediate nonlinear renewal theorem

The results in this section are obtained by comparing T_b in (1.2) with

$$\tau_b^* = \inf\big\{n \ge n_* : S_n + \zeta_{n_*} > b\big\}, \qquad (4.1)$$

where ζ_n is as in (2.4) and $n_* = \lfloor b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha \rfloor$ for certain $\eta_* > \theta/\mu^{1+\alpha}$, $\eta_* < 1/\mu$ for $\alpha = 1$. We shall state all the results before proceeding to the proofs.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\{\xi_n, n \ge 1\}$ is ρ-regular with parameters $p \ge 1$ and $1/2 < \alpha \le 1$. Suppose that the stopping time T_b in* (1.2) *satisfies*

$$\lim_{b\to\infty} \Big(\rho(b)/b\Big)^{-p} P\{T_b \le \delta_0 b/\mu\} = 0 \qquad (4.2)$$

for the δ_0 in (2.2). *If $E|X|^{(p+1)/\alpha} < \infty$, then*

$$\left\{\left|\frac{T_b - \tau_b^*}{\rho(b)}\right|^p : b \ge 1\right\} \quad \textit{is uniformly integrable.} \qquad (4.3)$$

For $\rho(x) = 1$ and $p = 1$, this uniform integrability result is a crucial component in our proof of the second order expansion of ET_b in Theorem 2.1. It can be also used to derive expansions of the expectations of the renewal measure U_b and the last exit time N_b^*, where

$$U_b = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I\{Z_n \le b\}, \qquad N_b^* = 1 + \sup\Big\{n \ge 1 : Z_n \le b\Big\}. \qquad (4.4)$$

For general $\rho(\cdot)$, it yields an expansion of ET_b up to $O(\rho(b))$. Since $\rho(b) = o(b)$ (e.g. $\rho(b)/b^\epsilon \to 0$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ with the rank SPRT by Lai [14], as shown in Section 3), such an expansion is typically sharper than direct extensions of the elementary renewal theorem but cruder than Theorem 2.1 as an extension of the standard renewal theorem. Thus, we call Theorem 4.2 (i) below an intermediate nonlinear renewal theorem.

Theorem 4.2. (i) *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold with $p = 1$. Then, for certain finite M,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu E T_b &= b - E\zeta_{n_b} + O(1)\Big\{1 + E \max_{1\le j\le Mb^\alpha}\Big(|\xi_{n_*+j} - \zeta_{n_*}| \wedge n^\alpha\Big)\Big\} \\ &= b - E\zeta_{n_b} + O(\rho(b)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

(ii) *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold with $p = 2$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Var}(T_b) = \frac{\sigma^2 b}{\mu^3} + O(\sqrt{b}\rho(b) + \rho^2(b)), \quad \text{as } b \to \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Remark 4.1. The conclusions of Theorem 4.2 remain valid if we replace the $o(1)$ in (2.2) and (2.3) with $O(1)$ and (2.4) with the weaker

$$E \max_{1\le j\le Mn^\alpha}\Big(|\zeta_n - \xi_{n+j}|^p \wedge n^{\alpha p}\Big) = O(\rho^p(b)).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let U_b and N_b^* be as in* (4.4). *Suppose*

$$\sum_{k\ge n+Kn^\alpha} k^{p-1} P\left\{\sup_{j\ge k} j^{-\alpha}(\xi_j + (j-n)\mu) \le w_0\right\} = o(\rho^p(n)), \tag{4.7}$$

for some $K > 0$ and $w_0 > 0$ as in (2.3). *Then,* (4.3), (4.5) *and* (4.6) *hold with T_b replaced by either U_b or N_b^* under their respective conditions in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.*

Proofs of of Theorems 4.2 and 4.3 are omitted since they follow from standard methods in nonlinear renewal theory, cf. [28] or [32]. We need three lemmas for the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $E|X|^{(p+1)/\alpha} < \infty$. If (2.2) and (4.2) hold for certain $\theta > 0$, then $P\{T_b \le b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha\} = o(\rho(b)/b)^p$ for all $\eta_* > \theta/\mu^{1+\alpha}$.*

Proof. Let $w > 0$ satisfy $(1-w)\mu^{1+\alpha}\eta_* = \theta$ with the θ in (2.2). Let $b > 1$ and $n_* = \lfloor b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha \rfloor$. Let δ_0 be as in (2.2) and (4.2). By (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} &P\{\delta_0 b/\mu < T_b \le b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha\} \\ &\quad\le P\{S_n + \xi_n > b \quad \text{for some} \quad \delta_0 b/\mu < n \le n_*\} \\ &\quad\le P\Big\{\max_{1\le n\le n_*} S_n - n\mu > w\mu\eta_* b^\alpha\Big\} \\ &\qquad + P\Big\{\max_{\delta_0 n_* < n\le n_*} (\xi_n + n\mu) > b - w\mu\eta_* b^\alpha\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Since $E|X|^{(p+1)/\alpha} < \infty$, by Theorem 1 of Chow and Lai [5]

$$P\Big\{\max_{1\le n\le b} |S_n - n\mu| > \lambda b^\alpha\Big\} = o(b^{-p}), \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Thus, the first term on the right-hand side of (4.8) is of the order $o(b^{-p})$ since $n_* = O(b)$. Since $b - w\mu\eta_* b^\alpha - n_*\mu \ge (1-w)\mu\eta_* b^\alpha \ge (1-w)\mu^{1+\alpha}\eta_* n_*^\alpha = \theta n_*^\alpha$ and $\rho(n_*)$ is of the same order as $\rho(b)$ by (2.1), it follows from (2.2) that the second term on the right-hand side of (4.8) is bounded by

$$P\Big\{\max_{\delta_0 n_* < n\le n_*} \xi_n > \theta n_*^\alpha\Big\} = o(\rho(n_*)/n_*)^p = o\Big(\rho(b)/b\Big)^p.$$

Hence, (4.8) is $o\big(\rho(b)/b\big)^p$ and the conclusion follows from (4.2). □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $E|X|^{(p+1)/\alpha} < \infty$. If (2.3) holds for certain $K > 0$, then*

$$\lim_{b\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho^p(b)} \sum_{k\ge b/\mu+\eta^* b^\alpha} k^{p-1} P\{T_b > k\} = 0, \quad \eta^* = K/\mu^\alpha.$$

Proof. Let $n^* = \lceil b/\mu + \eta^* b^\alpha \rceil$. By (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n^*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{T_b > k\} &\le \sum_{k=n^*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{S_k + \xi_k \le b\} \\ &\le \sum_{k=n^*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{S_k \le k\mu - w_0 k^\alpha/2\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=n^*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{\xi_k \le b - k\mu + w_0 k^\alpha/2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Since $E|X|^{(p+1)/\alpha} < \infty$, by Theorem 1 of Chow and Lai [5]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\Big\{|S_n - n\mu| > \lambda n^\alpha\Big\} < \infty, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Thus, since $w_0 > 0$, the first term on the right-hand side of (4.10) is $o(1)$ as $b \to \infty$. Let $n_b = \lfloor b/\mu \rfloor$ as in Theorem 2.1. Since $n_b\mu \le b < (n_b+1)\mu$ and $n^* \ge n_b + \eta^*(n_b\mu)^\alpha = n_b + Kn_b^\alpha$, in view of (2.3) the second term is bounded by

$$\sum_{k\ge n_b + Kn_b^\alpha} k^{p-1} P\{\xi_k \le (n_b+1)\mu - k\mu + w_0 k^\alpha/2\} = o(\rho^p(n_b)).$$

The conclusion follows since $\rho(n_b)$ and $\rho(b)$ are of the same order. □

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $E|X|^{(p+1)/\alpha} < \infty$. Let τ_b^* be as in (4.1) with the η_* in Lemma 4.1. Then,*

$$P\{b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha < \tau_b^* < b/\mu + \eta_\tau^* b^\alpha\} \ge 1 + o(b^{-p}) \tag{4.12}$$

for all $\eta_\tau^* > \theta^*/\mu^{1+\alpha}$. Moreover, for such η_τ^*

$$\lim_{b\to\infty} \sum_{k\ge b/\mu+\eta_\tau^* b^\alpha} k^{p-1} P\{\tau_b^* > k\} = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Since $b - n_*\mu - \theta n_*^\alpha \ge \mu\eta_* b^\alpha - \theta n_*^\alpha \ge (\eta_*\mu^{1+\alpha} - \theta) n_*^\alpha > 0$, it follows from (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\tau_b^* = n_*\} &\le P\{S_{n_*} + \theta n_*^\alpha > b\} \\ &= P\{S_{n_*} - n_*\mu > b - n_*\mu - \theta n_*^\alpha\} = o(b^{-p}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_\tau^* = \lceil b/\mu + \eta_\tau^* b^\alpha \rceil$. Since $b - n_\tau^*\mu + \theta^* n_*^\alpha \le -\mu\eta_\tau^* b^\alpha + \theta^* n_*^\alpha \le -(\eta_\tau^*\mu^{1+\alpha} - \theta^*) n_*^\alpha < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\tau_b^* \ge n_\tau^*\} &\le P\{S_{n_\tau^*} - \theta^* n_*^\alpha \le b\} \\ &= P\{S_{n_\tau^*} - n_\tau^*\mu \le b - n_\tau^*\mu + \theta^* n_*^\alpha\} = o(b^{-p}). \end{aligned}$$

The above calculations prove (4.12). The proof of (4.13) is simpler than that of Lemma 4.2 and omitted. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let η_*, $\eta^* = K/\mu^\alpha$ and $\eta^* > \eta^*_\tau > \theta^*/\mu^{1+\alpha}$ be as in Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 respectively. This is possible since $\theta^* < K\mu$ with the ρ-regularity conditions. Set $n_* = \lfloor b/\mu - \eta_* b^\alpha \rfloor$, $n^* = \lceil b/\mu + \eta^* b^\alpha \rceil$ and $n^*_\tau = \lceil b/\mu + \eta^*_\tau b^\alpha \rceil$.

Step 1: We first prove that for all integers $k_* \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=k_*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{T_b - \tau_b^* > k\} \\
&\quad \leq 2 \sum_{k \geq n^*} k^{p-1} P\{T_b > k\} + \sum_{n^* - n_* \leq k < n^*} k^{p-1} P\{\tau_b^* \leq n_*\} \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\{A_\tau\} + \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\{S_k \leq \mu k/2\} \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\Big\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2 + M_\tau b^\alpha} (\zeta_{n_*} - \xi_{j+n_*}) > \mu k/2 \Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

with $A_\tau = \{\tau_b^* \notin (n_*, n_\tau^*)\}$ and $M_\tau = \eta_\tau^* + \eta^* + 2\eta_*$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=k_*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\{\tau_b^* - T_b > k\} \\
&\quad \leq 2 \sum_{k \geq n^*} k^{p-1} P\{\tau_b^* > k\} + \sum_{n^* - n_* \leq k < n^*} k^{p-1} P\{T_b \leq n_*\} \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\{\widetilde{A}_T\} + \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\{S_k \leq \mu k/2\} \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\Big\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2 + M_T b^\alpha} (\xi_{j+n_*} - \zeta_{n_*}) > \mu k/2 \Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

with $\widetilde{A}_T = \{T_b \notin (n_*, n^*), \tau_b^* > T_b\}$ and $M_T = \eta^* + \eta_*$.

For the proof of (4.14), we divide $[k_*, \infty)$ into three intervals at $n^* - n_*$ and n^*. For the first interval $k \in [k_*, n^* - n_*)$, we have $n_* < \tau_b^* + k \leq n_\tau^* + n^* - n_* - 2 < n_* + 2 + M_\tau b^\alpha$ in A_τ^c and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{T_b - \tau_b^* > k\} &\subseteq \{S_{\tau_b^*+k} + \xi_{\tau_b^*+k} \leq b < S_{\tau_b^*} + \zeta_{n_*}\} \\
&\subseteq \{S_{\tau_b^*+k} - S_{\tau_b^*} \leq \mu k/2\} \cup \{\xi_{\tau_b^*+k} - \zeta_{n_*} < -\mu k/2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second interval $k \in [n^* - n_*, n^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \geq n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\{T_b > k + \tau_b^*, \tau_b^* > n_*\} &\leq \sum_{k \geq n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\{T_b > k + n_*\} \\
&\leq \sum_{j \geq n^*} j^{p-1} P\{T_b \geq j\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (4.15) is nearly identical, with $n_* < T_b < n^* \leq n_* + (\eta^* + \eta_*) b^\alpha + 2$ in $\widetilde{A}_T^c$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\tau_b^* - T_b > k\} &\subseteq \{S_{T_b+k} + \zeta_{n_*} \leq b < S_{T_b} + \xi_{T_b}\} \\
&\subseteq \{S_{T_b+k} - S_{T_b} \leq \mu k/2\} \cup \{\zeta_{n_*} - \xi_{T_b} < -\mu k/2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Prove the uniform integrability of $\{(T_b - \tau_b^*)^+/\rho(b)\}^p$. For $k_* = \lfloor C\rho(b) \rfloor$, we have

$$J(b, C) = E\left(\frac{T_b - \tau_b^*}{\rho(b)} - C \right)^p I\left\{ \frac{T_b - \tau_b^*}{\rho(b)} > C \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_p}{\rho^p(b)} \sum_{k=k_*}^{\infty} k^{p-1} P\big\{T_b - \tau_b^* > k\big\},$$

where C_p is a universal constant. For the current step, it suffices to show $J(b, C) \to 0$ as $b \to \infty$ and then $C \to \infty$. Since $n^* = O(b)$ and $n^* - n_* = O(b^\alpha)$, it follows from (4.14), Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, and (4.11) that

$$J(b, C) = o(1) + \frac{C_p}{\rho^p(b)} \sum_{k_* \leq k < n^* - n_*} k^{p-1} P\Big\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2 + M_\tau b^\alpha} (\zeta_{n_*} - \xi_{j+n_*}) > \mu k/2 \Big\}.$$

Since $b = O(n_*)$, we may choose M in (2.4) satisfying $M n_*^\alpha > 2 + M_\tau b^\alpha$ for all $b > 1$. Thus, by the uniform integrability in (2.4), $J(b, C) = o(1)$ as $b \to \infty$ and then $C \to \infty$.

Step 3: Prove the uniform integrability of $\{(T_b - \tau_b^*)^- / \rho(b)\}^p$. This step is nearly identical to Step 2, with (4.15) instead of (4.14). In fact, since $M_T < M_\tau$, the same M in (2.4) works. Although Lemma 4.1 does not provide $(b^\alpha/\rho(b))^p P(T_b \geq n^*) = o(1)$, we have $P(\widetilde{A}_T) \leq P(T_n \leq n_*) + P(\tau_b^* \geq n^* \geq n_\tau^*)$, so that Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3 can be used to control the third sum on the right-hand side of (4.15). This completes the proof of Step 3 and thus the entire theorem. □

References

[1] Aras, G. and Woodroofe, M. (1993). Asymptotic expansions for the moments of a randomly stopped average. *Ann. Statist.* **21** 503–519. MR1212190

[2] Chernoff, H. and Savage, I. R. (1958). Asymptotic normality and efficiency of certain nonparametric test statistics. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **29** 972–994. MR0100322

[3] Chow, Y. S. (1966). On the moments of some one-sided stopping rules. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** 382–387. MR0208660

[4] Chow, Y. S., Hsiung, C. A. and Lai, T. L. (1979). Extended renewal theory and moment convergence in Anscombe's theorem. *Ann. Probab.* **7** 304–318. MR0525056

[5] Chow, Y. S. and Lai, T. L. (1975). Some one-sided theorems on the tail distribution of sample sums with applications to the last time and largest excess of boundary crossings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **208** 51–72. MR0380973

[6] Chow, Y. S. and Robbins, H. (1963). A renewal theorem for random variables which are dependent or non-identically distributed. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 34 390–395. MR0149576

[7] Feller, W. (1966). *An Introduction to Probability and Its Applications II.* Wiley, New York. MR0210154

[8] Ghosh, B. K. (1970). *Sequential Tests of Statistical Hypotheses.* Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA. MR0319330

[9] Gut, A. (1974). On the moments and limit distributions of some first passage times. *Ann. Probab.* **2** 277–308. MR0394857

[10] Hagwood, C. and Woodroofe, M. (1982). On the expansion for expected sample size in non-linear renewal theory. *Ann. Probab.* **10** 844–848. MR0659554

[11] Hu, I. (1991). Nonlinear renewal theory for conditional random walks. *Ann. Probab.* **19** 401–422. MR1085345

[12] Kim, D.-Y. and Woodroofe, M. (2003). Non-linear renewal theory with stationary perturbations. *Sequential Analysis* **22** 55–74. MR1993633

[13] Kim, D.-Y. and Woodroofe, M. (2006). A non-linear renewal theorem with stationary and slowly changing perturbations. In this volume.
[14] Lai, T.L. (1975). On Chernoff–Savage statistics and sequential rank tests. *Ann. Statist.* **3** 825–845. MR0388615
[15] Lai, T.L. and Siegmund, D. (1977). A nonlinear renewal theory with applications to sequential analysis. I. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 946–954. MR0445599
[16] Lai, T.L. and Siegmund, D. (1979). A nonlinear renewal theory with applications to sequential analysis. II. *Ann. Statist.* **7** 60–76. MR0515684
[17] Lalley, S.P. (1980). *Repeated Likelihood Ratio Test for Curved Exponential Famnilies.* Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, Stanford CA.
[18] Pollak, M. and Siegmund, D. (1975). Approximations to the expected sample size of certain sequential tests. *Ann. Statist.* **3** 1267–1282. MR0403114
[19] Robbins, H. (1970). Statistical methods related to the law of the iterated logarithm. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **41** 1397–1409. MR0277063
[20] Savage, I. R. (1956). Contributions to the theory of rank order statistics—The two-sample case. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **27** 590–615. MR0080416
[21] Savage, I. R. and Sethuraman, J. (1966). Stopping time of a rank-order sequential probability ratio test based on Lehmann alternatives. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** 1154–1160. MR0201030
[22] Sen, P. K. (1981). *Sequential Nonparametrics: Invariance Principles and Statistical Inference.* Wiley, New York. MR0633884
[23] Siegmund, D. (1967). Some one-sided stopping rules. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** 1641–1646. MR0220402
[24] Siegmund, D. (1969). On the variance of one-sided stopping rules. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **40** 1074–1077. MR0243626
[25] Siegmund, D. (1985). *Sequential Analysis: Tests and Confidence Intervals.* Springer-Verlag, New York. MR0799155
[26] Woodroofe, M. (1976). A renewal theorem for curved boundaries and moments of first passage times. *Ann. Probab.* **4** 67–80. MR0391294
[27] Woodroofe, M. (1977). Second order approximations for sequential point and interval estimation. *Ann. Statist.* **5** 984–995. MR0494735
[28] Woodroofe, M. (1982). *Nonlinear Renewal Theory in Sequential Analysis.* CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 39. SIAM, Philadelphia. MR0660065
[29] Woodroofe, M. (1984). Likelihood ratio tests with ranks. *Sankhyā Ser. A* **46** 233–252. MR0778873
[30] Woodroofe, M. (1993). On sequential rank tests. In *Recent Advances in Statistics*, M. H. Rizvi, J. Rustagi and D. Siegmund Eds., Academic Press, New York, pp. 115–140. MR0736530
[31] Woodroofe, M. and Keener, R. (1987). Asymptotic expansions in boundary crossing problems. *Ann. Probab.* **15** 102–114. MR0877592
[32] Zhang, C. H. (1988). A nonlinear renewal theory. *Ann. Probab.* **16** 793–824. MR0929079